Constructing Linguistic Identity Through Algorithm: An Investigation into Language Use on   Social Media Platforms

Khadeeja Tahira
M. phil. Scholar at National University of Modern Languages, Multan
Email: khadeejahassan84@gmail.com

Abstract

Before digital communication took over, some of its best features were its theatricality, political nuance, and the way language could change. Social media sites like Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, and TikTok have changed the way we interact with each other, how we see ourselves, and how our groups work. The objective of this research is to examine the intricate network of power dynamics present in online discourse and the utilization of language. The study examines the relationship between language and society. This study examines the function of code-switching, viral slang, memes, emojis, and hashtags in promoting online resistance, identity formation, and social critique. The study specifically examines the ramifications of these factors. Utilizing frameworks such as Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) and Sociolinguistic Identity Theory The objective of this study is to address a deficiency in the current literature by illustrating how online language both mirrors and transforms social power dynamics and belief systems. It   contends that social media platforms represent a sociolinguistic space characterized by perpetual transformation, wherein language governs, exchanges, and transcends power dynamics. Besides, it finds that social media discourses are important site to disseminate power relation among the users.

Key Words: Identity, Algorithm, Power Dynamic, Digital Discourse, Ideology

Introduction

People use language to define themselves, say what they think, and talk to other people. The rise of social media sites like Twitter, Instagram, and TikTok has changed how people interact with each other in the digital age. People who use social media can talk about political and social issues in many different ways, create identities, and join conversations in real time. People use language that is both purposeful and dynamic on these platforms a lot, like memes and hashtags.

The significance of social media for linguistic research resides in its capacity to disclose actions performed by both individuals and groups. Meeting someone in person is not enough to fill a social role. The digital stories, emojis, bios, and tweets they use are like a dictionary for them. Hypertextuality, creativity, multimodality, and brevity are all new parts of online communication. All of these things have come up in the last few years. People can use these forms to validate marginalized identities, question authority, and challenge norms, all of which help language get better.

Language and power in online spaces are the most important topics that this content talks about. The ideas and conversations that happen on the internet can either make power dynamics stronger or weaker. Protests like #MeToo, #BlackLivesMatter, and #FreePalestine are more than just ways to bring people together and start meaningful conversations that lead to change. The aforementioned instances illustrate the influence of online discourse on political engagement, social activism, and overall knowledge. Also, they show how people who are apparently powerless can gain power by using strategic language on media platforms.

It is very important that people recognize how significant these platforms are. There are a number of factors that control how people use language on social media sites.

These include algorithms, affordances, and structural constraints. Because Twitter has a limit on how many characters you can use, users are encouraged to use shorter, more creative words. Instagram places a lot of value on how images and captions relate to each other. To study the use of different forms of discourses that TikTok's audio, video, and text content makes possible, we need new ways of asking questions. To fully understand the big changes that have happened in sociolinguistics, we need to look at these dynamics.

Sociolinguistics examines the interplay between language and social behavior, revealing significant societal trends through the analysis of user interactions with authority figures and their engagement in online communities. This research enhances the fields of digital sociolinguistics, discourse analysis, and the formation of online identities by examining language on social media as both a product and a conduit of power.

This study aims to examine the impact of language on social media platforms through the application of a theoretical model and selected examples, along with reviewing the relevant existing body of literature in the similar domain.

Literature Review

Sociolinguistic research indicates that the roles of language, authority, and new media in social formation have evolved over the past two decades. Previously, conducting language research online has generally been a hybrid or informal approach to investigation. Recent studies have shown that digital discourse is very important to modern politics and identities. Recent and historical research on the role of language in digital contexts examines language trends, power dynamics, and identity.

Language and Power

Fairclough (1995) argues that language is closely connected to ideology, power relations, and social norms. He employs his Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) framework to demonstrate the impact of language on social power. He focuses on how   discourse can either make existing ideologies stronger or create new ones. Pierre Bourdieu's "linguistic capital" theory (1991) posits that the relative value of an individual's speech patterns is contingent upon their social status and the application of those patterns in practical contexts. There are many kinds of power structures such as user identification, censorship, and platform concepts.

Language in the Digital Age

In the world of digital communication, communication is always changing, always changing, and always changing how people interact with each other. Some people on the internet have made a big deal out of the so-called "death of language" (Crystal, 2011). The internet lets people say what they want in many different ways. Androutsopoulos (2014) and Tagg (2015) both go into detail about how people who use digital interfaces make codes, acronyms, and summary messages. Being able to read and write in digital spaces means more than just knowing the grammar and vocabulary rules of the language. It is also important to be able to use hashtags, gifs, emojis, and memes to communicate well.

Using hashtags lets you store metadata and create a "ambient affiliation," which is a temporary group of people who have similar identities or opinions (Zappavigna 2012). Hashtags like #MeToo and #BlackLivesMatter can help people reach other

goals. They also encourage harmony, discord, and online personalities at the same time.

Danesi (2016) argues that Emojis could be a visual language that can add to or replace written or spoken communication. You can use emojis to show how you feel, be sarcastic, and control straw man arguments. Using an emoji is another   way to show that you don't agree with a group or people in charge. In political discussions, people who agree with each other usually raise their fists to show that they disagree.

Language and Identity Online

Bucholtz and Hall (2005) opine that language influences identity formation, while interpersonal interactions facilitate language development. Their model is useful for explaining online behavior because so many different kinds of people use social media and are in different situations. In 2011, Marwick and Boyd first used the term "context collapse" in their research. This term refers to the fact that not all internet users have to tell their own websites who they are. They need to start using different words. When people talk to each other, they use language that is both functional and expressive.

Users who speak more than one language can make their points more clearly and improve their style by switching between two languages. Sebba (2012) and Lee (2017) have posited that bilingual individuals on social media platforms like Twitter and Facebook practice code-switching to articulate their cultural identity, disseminate values, or contest societal norms. People often switch between languages to hide that they belong to a certain group or to avoid being targeted by content moderation for certain language traits.

Digital Discourse and Resistance

 There has been a big rise in activism about digital language in the last few months. Cisneros and Nakayama wrote an article in 2015 in which they say that people who are on the fringes of the digital world use language that is linked to the internet to challenge beliefs that are seen as dominant. What people read and watch on social media has a big effect on what they think and what policies they support. Some examples of hashtags that fit this category are #WhyIStayed, #SayHerName, and #StopAsianHate.

There are ways to control and keep an eye on actions in digital spaces. Machine learning algorithms decide how visible digital content is, and institutional structures help with moderation. Gillespie (2018) and Noble (2018) examine the hidden moderation policies of Google and YouTube and how they help keep inequality going. If they don't have power, dissidents may be silenced or kicked out. Language and technology can help lessen the effects of social media, which is why there are options. This study   offers examination of language, power, and digital platforms to rectify identified deficiencies. This method, which looks at how social power structures show up online, is based on the ideas of sociolinguistic theory and digital discourse analysis.

Methodology

The primary objective of this qualitative study is to examine how the language employed on social media platforms both mirrors and transforms the linguistic norms established by society. This study employs Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) to

examine how digital communication either de/constructs social structures related to power. It analyses the effects of digital communication by employing digital ethnography and Critical Discourse Analysis to attain a more profound comprehension of individual social media usage.

Data Collection

The data for the present study has been collected from Twitter, Instagram, and TikTok because they are globally accessible, accommodate various formats, and engage in social and political matters. The information used in this study came from these platforms. The researcher has put together posts from 2020 to 2024 that talked about language in relation to power, identity, and building communities. These posts were put together using well-known hashtags. Here are a few examples:

#BlackLivesMatter
#MeToo
#FreePalestine
#ClimateStrike
#TransRightsAreHumanRights

The research used a variety of media formats, including text, images, and audio, to show the results of about 150 public posts. Instagram, TikTok, and Twitter all got the same number of tweets. The posts were all chosen using purposive sampling to make sure that they showed a wide range of genders, races, origins, and political beliefs.

Data Analysis

Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) has been employed for the analysis. This framework is based on Fairclough's (1995) three-dimensional model of Textual Analysis, Discursive Practice, and Social Practice.

Textual analysis includes word choice, syntax, code-switching, modal language, hashtags, emojis, and other multimodal markers.

"Discursive practice" is the term used to describe how messages are made, shared, and understood on platforms like social media that raise awareness.

The theory of social practice describes that discourse is interconnected with political and social narratives, power dynamics, identities, and ideologies.

The analysis used pseudonymized usernames, randomly assigned participant names, and rules about data privacy to make sure that the data has only been used for research purpose. Memes, gifs, and icons are all examples of symbolic tools. Another example is emojis.

Using pronouns and reflective hashtags to express yourself are two examples of identity markers that show you are part of a group.

Sociolinguistic Identity Theory

It maintains that language influences both the construction and negotiation of identity. Based on their model, we can identify five essential principles pertinent to this investigation. Relationships, based on comparison and alignment with others, significantly contribute to the development of an individual's identity. These principles are shown through social media performances like writing bios, using hashtags that focus on identity (like #DesiQueer and #BlackGirlMagic), and joining conversations that either challenge or support norms. This theory elucidates the

linguistic positioning of users, the navigation of audience perception, and the engagement of audiences with communities.

Research Questions
The research at hand answers the following questions:
How does language on social media sites help people shape and show their identity?
How do people de/construct systems of power through their language use on social media? The results of this study can yield further insights into the dynamics of competition, cooperation, and rivalry on social media. It is even more important to know a lot about language and power because social media sites can change people's opinions, political activity, and identity. The study's findings are expected to elucidate the diverse ways in which an individual's online persona either reinforces or contests established authority figures and societal norms.

Data Analysis
Language is an important part of the digital platforms that are becoming more popular. It is used for communication, resistance, self-expression, and power dynamics. Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) and Sociolinguistic Identity Theory indicate that findings from social media platforms like Twitter, Instagram, and TikTok demonstrate how users either endorse or contest prevailing narratives.

Affecting One's Life Through Various Forms of Communication
Users created digital profiles to build their identities on the platforms that were looked at. People made these profiles by using different language styles, emoticons, personalized bios, and hashtags to show who they are. Hashtags like #DesiQueer, #BlackGirlMagic, and #TransRightsAreHumanRights show that people care about LGBTQ issues and values and have political views. Bucholtz and Hall's indexicality theory opines that the way a person talks can tell you a lot about their personality.
As more people began to use social media sites like Instagram and Twitter, code-switching became more common. Twitter and Instagram both have features that let people talk to each other in two languages. These features can be used to spread political views and cultural discussions. These claims summed up the positionality theory that Bucholtz and Hall put forth. This theory lets people share their complex identities with different groups of people from different backgrounds.

Linguistic Resistance and Digital Activism
The researchers chose this language because we wanted to either come up with new ideas or give feedback on ideas that were already out there. One part of the #FreePalestine trend that has been going on is TikTok videos that are visually stunning and use captions to make state-perpetrated violence seem more human. Instagram posts with strong symbols and filters made survivor stories even more emotional. The hashtags #MeToo and #SayHerName were used in these posts.
The discursive function of the CDA elucidates that the actions of sharing, liking, and remixing posts facilitated reinterpretation, expanded reach, and the conversion of individual struggles into collective action. Language shows how it shapes identities and pushes social activists to act by spreading information.

Platform-Specific Affordances and Language Constraints

The design of the platform limited how much users could say. Because Twitter only lets you use a certain number of characters, users had to be short, spell things in creative ways, and use strange syntax (like ellipses and slashes) in their messages. Instagram realized that captions could change the story that a picture told. To promote something on TikTok, you had to use audio, captions, and body language. An analyzed TikTok video demonstrates the use of hybrid discourses in the realm of social media advocacy. There were protests, text, and dynamic transitions throughout the video.

The platform's rules and algorithms, on the other hand, stifled the originality. Using algorithmic filtering, posts and hashtags that were thought to be politically divisive were either taken down completely or heavily limited. Noble (2018) and Gillespie (2018) both helped to write this down. Structural censorship and surveillance determine the recognition and marginalization of specific groups, even though social practices permit the exercise of free speech. Here are a few examples to help you understand.

Emojis, Memes, and Symbolic Capital

When used online, emojis can be both expressive and rhetorical ways to talk to each other. People often use the fire emoji when talking about climate change, which shows how important and urgent the issue is. People often used the ☐ (raised fist) emoji to show that they didn't like political oppression when they were talking about it. It was possible to communicate ideas that were hard to put into words through visual communication by turning these pictures into important symbols. Memes were important from an ideological point of view because they made fun of politicians, the police, and businesses. They brought together the worlds of politics and entertainment.

Bourdieu (1991) introduced the idea of linguistic capital, which says that people can gain social power by using digital symbols and cultural codes. Digital platforms intentionally included emojis and memes to get people more involved and help them focus better. This is an example of how language can be used to show social power on the internet.

Community Formation and Ambient Affiliation

Hashtags seem to create a connection between people, allowing them to talk about values they share even if they don't know each other. The fact that the people who took part in #ClimateStrike and #BLM used the same visual style and language shows that they were able to work together even though they didn't know each other. Rituals were used to create traditional beliefs, and they also helped the group stay together and made it okay for people to have different points of view.

Conclusion

The study examines how language, authority, and self-presentation affect the identity of the users. A subject that captivates the researchers interest is the impact of online discourse on societal and political transformations. The sociolinguistic identity theory and Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) assert that individuals intentionally construct their identities through language on the internet to contest

authority, forge connections, and subtly exhibit their power.

It finds that people who use social media can creatively challenge dominant narratives and support marginalized identities by using strategies like code-switching, emoji symbolism, and hashtag politics. The platform's rules and algorithms for moderating content apply to everything a user does and posts. Because of these circumstances, which are good for some people but bad for others, there is a power imbalance between the agency that is doing the marginalizing and the agency that is doing the marginalizing.

Moreover, it is observed that TikTok is more of a multimedia platform than Instagram or Twitter because it has both audio and video. However, research shows that all three platforms affect how users speak and how they present themselves. The study's results show that each network can create its own language with the help of some social media sites.

This study contributes to the field of digital sociolinguistics, but it also helps us understand the complex relationship between language, power, and identity online. The study recommends that there are three areas that need more research when it comes to the sociopolitical effects of digital platforms which include language differences, platform governance, and digital activism. Along with this it also suggests that in order to make digital platforms that are fair, open, and empowering, one needs to know a lot about how social media works and how it affects communication around the world.

References

Bucholtz, M., & Hall, K. (2005). Identity and interaction: A sociocultural linguistic approach. Discourse Studies, 7(4-5), 585-614. https://doi.org/10.1177/1461445605058171

Danesi, M. (2016). The semiotics of emoji: The rise of visual language in the age of the Internet. Bloomsbury Publishing.

Fairclough, N. (1995). Critical discourse analysis: The critical study of language. Longman.

Gillespie, T. (2018). Custodians of the Internet: Platforms, content moderation, and the hidden decisions that shape social media. Yale University Press.

Marwick, A. E., & Boyd, D. (2011). To see and be seen: Celebrity practice on Twitter. Convergence, 17(2), 139-158. https://doi.org/10.1177/1354856510394539

Noble, S. U. (2018). Algorithms of oppression: How search engines reinforce racism. NYU Press.

Van Dijk, T. A. (1998). Discourse and manipulation. Discourse & Society, 9(2), 341-367. https://doi.org/10.1177/0957926598009002007

Wodak, R. (2001). The discourse-historical approach. In R. Wodak & M. Meyer (Eds.), Methods of critical discourse analysis (pp. 63-94). Sage Publications.

Zappavigna, M. (2012). Discourse of Twitter and social media: How we use language to create affiliation on the web. John Benjamins Publishing Company.